



PO Box 2502  
Grand Cayman KY1-1104  
CAYMAN ISLANDS  
Tel: (345) 946-ICTA (4282)  
Fax: (345) 945-8284  
Web: [www.icta.ky](http://www.icta.ky)

26 August 2015

Mr. Bill McCabe  
Chief Executive Officer  
LIME/Cable and Wireless (Cayman Islands) Limited  
PO Box 293  
Grand Cayman KY1-1104  
CAYMAN ISLANDS

**Via email**

Dear Mr. McCabe,

**Re: Request for Information**

On 12 September 2014, the Information and Communications Technology Authority (the 'Authority' or 'ICTA') received a determination request filed by Infinity Broadband, Ltd ('Infinity') under the Information and Communications Technology Authority Dispute Resolution Regulations (2003), relating to the provision by DataLink, Ltd ('DataLink') of its pole attachment services (the 'Dispute'). DataLink filed its written response to that determination request on 2 October 2014.

As the subject matter of the Dispute may be relevant to other licensees, the Authority considers it necessary to collect relevant information from all the Licensees who purchase pole attachment services from DataLink.

LIME is obliged under its Licence (Licence Condition 4.1), the Dispute Resolution Regulations, 2003 (Regulation 8) and the Authority Law (2011 Revision,) to provide the Authority in the manner and at the times required by the Authority, on reasonable notice, any information requested.

On 26 June 2015, the Authority requested LIME to provide the following information:

1. Please list all the relevant fees applied to LIME's use (or expected use) of CUC's Poles managed by DataLink, on a quarterly basis from Quarter 1 (January-March) 2012 through to the end of Quarter 1 (January-March) 2015, as shown in Table 1.
2. Please list all the relevant information requested in Table 2, provided on a quarterly basis from Quarter 1 (January-March) 2012 through to the end of Quarter 1 (January-March) 2015.

On 31 July 2015, LIME submitted its response to the Authority's request for information.

The Authority notes LIME's statement as to the reasons why LIME was unable to submit data for Table 2, as follows:

*"With respect to the pole- and fibre-related questions in Table 2, LIME does not have the requested information because we do not track it in our systems...while we track the number of poles to which we attach our infrastructure, we do not track separately those poles to which we attach fibre cables."*

LIME went on to further state that:

*"Similarly, we do not track the number of meters of fibre attached to Datalink poles, the number of meters of all fibre deployed by LIME, or the evolution of those numbers over time."*

The Authority has examined the information LIME has provided in its submission, and requires clarification as to the correct understanding of LIME's response. Therefore, the Authority requires that LIME provide it with the following information:

1. Using the information provided by LIME in Attachment 1 of its submission, the Authority estimates the total number of CUC poles to which LIME has attached its Communications Facilities to be [REDACTED], as of Q1 2015. Please confirm whether this estimate is correct or not. If this estimate is incorrect, please provide the total number of CUC poles to which LIME has attached its Communications Facilities, as of Q1 2015.
2. Please clarify the reasons for the increase in quarterly pole rental fees in Q4 2014.
3. The Authority notes LIME's reference to Attachment 2 in LIME's response, however there is no such attachment in non-redacted version of LIME's

submission of 31 July 2015. In addition, the first paragraph on the second page of LIME's letter appears to be part of LIME's broader submission of non-redacted response to the Authority's letter of 26 June 2015, which has been partially presented in LIME's submission of 31 July 2015. Accordingly, LIME is required to resubmit the complete non-redacted version of LIME's submission of 31 July 2015, including the non-redacted version of Attachment 2.

LIME is required to provide its response to the above, by **5 p.m., 16 September 2015**.

If LIME files any information in confidence with the Authority, it should also file redacted versions for the public record along with the reasons for each confidentiality claim and the other requirements for confidentiality claims as specified in the ICTA Law (Confidentiality) Regulations, 2003 (<http://www.icta.ky/docs/Regs/ICTA-%20Confidentiality%20Regulations.pdf>).

At this time, the Authority is providing this letter in confidence to LIME. However, in order to maintain a full public record, LIME is hereby directed by **5 p.m., 5 September 2015** to either: 1) indicate in writing to the Authority that it does not intend to make any confidentiality claim in relation to the content of this ICTA letter; or, 2) provide a redacted version of this letter to the Authority redacting the portions of the questions that it considers confidential and providing the reasons for each confidentiality claim and the other requirements for confidentiality claims as specified in the ICTA Law (Confidentiality) Regulations, 2003.

Yours sincerely,

[signed]

Dr. Russell Richardson  
General Counsel and Deputy Director Industry Affairs