



PO Box 2502  
Grand Cayman KY1-1104  
CAYMAN ISLANDS  
Tel: (345) 946-ICTA (4282)  
Fax: (345) 945-8284  
Web: [www.icta.ky](http://www.icta.ky)

Information & Communications Technology Authority

Our ref: ICTA/105/105-15

17 April 2014

Mr. Chris Hayman  
Chief Executive Officer  
Digicel Cayman Ltd  
PO Box 700  
Grand Cayman KY1-1107  
CAYMAN ISLANDS

Dear Mr. Hayman,

**Second Round of Interrogatories regarding Digicel's submission in the FTR and Transit rate Proceeding – CD2012-1**

On 28 March 2013, Digicel Cayman Ltd ("Digicel") submitted its response to the interrogatories of the Information Communications and Technology Authority (the "Authority") to Digicel, dated 14 February 2013.

On 23 May 2013, Digicel submitted a request to LIME for further response to Digicel's interrogatories. In that submission, amongst other things, Digicel questioned the relevance of transit service within the context of current interconnection arrangements between Digicel and LIME, namely in relation to the traffic to and from LIME's mobile network.

The Authority has reviewed Digicel's submissions and requests Digicel's response to the attached interrogatories by 22 May 2014. The response should be sent to the distribution list for this proceeding at the same time it is sent to the Authority.

When responding, please repeat the entire question above the corresponding response to each question.

If there are any questions about the contents of these interrogatories, please feel free to contact Vladimir Bulatovic via e-mail at [vladimir.bulatovic@icta.ky](mailto:vladimir.bulatovic@icta.ky) or telephone at (345) 746-9612.

Yours sincerely,

[signed]

Mark Connors  
Head of Economics and Regulation

cc CD 2012-1 distribution list

1. In the letter dated 23 May 2013, Digicel made the following statement:

*An NGN to the best of our knowledge would ordinarily result in a network configuration whereby traffic reaching LIME via the point of interconnect (POI) is then treated exactly the same way in terms of how it is directed onwards for ultimate delivery to its destination. That would apply whether the traffic went from Digicel to the POI to LIME's customers using fixed phones, or from Digicel to the POI to LIME customers using mobile phones. There is no such thing as transit in this context.*

*If this is correct then the parts of LIME's FLLRIC model dealing with transit charges are redundant and should be excised entirely along with all relevant network and cost components.*

- a. Please provide a network diagram consistent with those provided by LIME on 6 February 2014 in the file named "CAY 2014\_02\_08 LIME Letter - Attachment - response to ICTA Interrogs 7-9 11 13 15 (network diagrams) PUBLIC.pdf" which shows all the network components and connections in the interconnection configuration that Digicel claims would ordinarily result from an NGN environment, for the traffic that is delivered by Digicel to LIME's mobile network through the existing POI on LIME's fixed network, and vice versa.
- b. Please provide a detailed explanation of how any such interconnection configuration would be different from an arrangement whereby Digicel's POI is directly connected to LIME's mobile switch.
- c. Please explain if and how such an interconnection configuration for the traffic delivered by Digicel to LIME's mobile network through the existing POI on LIME's fixed network, would differ from interconnection configuration for the traffic delivered by Digicel to other OLOs through the existing POI on LIME's fixed network.