8th April, 2008

Mr. David Laliberté General Counsel & Head of Licensing and Compliance Information and Communications Technology Authority P. O. Box 2502, Alissta Towers, 3rd Floor 85 North Sound Road, George Town, Grand Cayman KY1-1104

Dear Mr. Laliberté,

We appreciate the opportunity to vet the 2007 report from Broadcast Signal Lab (Mr. David Maxson). It is quite an extensive report with complex and detailed information with tests conducted from strategic locations.

It appears from the report that the there has been marked improvement in the Central George Town area by the re-location of some stations further East. It also appears that the weaker stations mentioned in both the reports, one relating to the WestPoint Radio (FM 94.3) test as well as the 2007 report, had always been weak as indicated in the excerpts below.

"The Reception Quality Report Table (Table 1) indicates that the inexpensive clock radio did not perform well for any station in the West Bay area." [The 2007 report, page 22, Second paragraph, Section 3.1.3 To the North, 6th Sentence]

And again, states:

"Not only are the power levels of the George Town Three a potential cause of their poorer West Bay performance, but also the antenna configuration (and in one case, height) are probable contributors to the poorer performance of the George Town Three in West Bay". [The 2007 report, Section 3.1.3 To the North, last paragraph]

This further indicates that the interference affecting the said "George Town Three" is not caused by transmission from our tower and our station is not affecting those stations as indicated in the Report on the Proposal to Operate an FM Transmitter on 94.3. Page 3 of this report also suggests an alternate plan would be to move our frequency from the proposed 94.3 to frequency 94.1 which separates us from the nearest frequency of 94.9.

The plan to shift our frequency as mentioned in the report, is due to the fact that since our application was submitted in 2000 "time has overtaken the frequency assignment plan". This is incorrect because the initial application for WestPoint Radio FM 94.3 was submitted in 1996 (as stated in our correspondence to the Board dated 18th February, 2008) not 2000.

At that time and even in 2000, there were few stations operating and no blanketing issues; nor was there a 'roll out policy'.

We are simply asking for the chance to operate (even outside the Central area) and "if" issues arise, be given the same opportunity to work through them just as all the other sister stations have been given opportunity to do.

Again we solicit your favourable consideration of the Application for WestPoint Radio FM 94.3. We are amenable to any suggestion which would help us move forward with this application.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the report.

Respectfully submitted,

Locksley & Carol Gould On behalf of WestPoint Radio (FM94.3)

WPR4/08-File